UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X

WILLIAM AUBREY PELZER, JR. Secured Party Creditor, UCC 3-419, House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933,

Petitioner,

-against-

MEMORANDUM & ORDER 05-CV-4651(JS)(MLO)

GARY GREENE, and THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW YORK STATE,

Respondents.

----X

APPEARANCES:

For Petitioner: William Aubrey Pelzer, Pro Se

03-A-3518

Great Meadow Correctional Facility,

Box 51

Comstock, New York 12821

For Respondent: No appearance

SEYBERT, District Judge:

On December 1, 2005, this Court issued an Order ("December Order") dismissing Pro Se Petitioner William Aubrey Pelzer, Jr.'s ("Petitioner") Petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Petition was dismissed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts ("Rule 4"). Rule 4 requires a district court to examine and dismiss frivolous habeas Petitions prior to requiring a return from the Respondent. See Rule 4, Adv. Comm. Note (1976) ("it is the duty of the [habeas] court to screen out frivolous applications and eliminate the burden that would be placed on the respondent by ordering an unnecessary answer."); see also Acosta v.

<u>Artuz</u>, 221 F.3d 117, 123 (2d Cir. 2000). The Petition was

dismissed because it was incomprehensible. The Court refers the

Parties to the December Order for a brief recitation of some of

Petitioner's claims.

In the December Order, the Court granted Petitioner leave

to amend the Petition. The Amended Petition, however, is equally

incomprehensible. For example, the Amended Petition includes the

following grounds for challenging the state court conviction: (1)

"One living sentient, free will, natural man known as William

Aubrey Pelzer©, hereinafter "One" acting with rights granted by

life;" and (2) "Declaration of the International Rights and Duties

of the Individual," specifically "the right to live under a system

of free enterprise."

The Court, therefore DISMISSES the Amended Petition for

failing to comply with Rule 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed

to mark this matter as CLOSED.

SO ORDERED

/s/ JOANNA SEYBERT

Joanna Seybert, U.S.D.J.

Dated:

Central Islip, New York

March <u>14</u>, 2006

2